

### REMARKS

This is in reply to the Office Action of July 28, 2005.

The disclosure has been objected to because of an informality with regard to the recitation "*circular internally threaded inner portion 22*" (paragraph [0020]) and "*externally threaded portion 22*" (paragraph [0022]). Applicant has corrected the reference to cite an *externally threaded concentric portion 22*.

Claims 1, 3-7 and 9-11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Applicant has amended the claims in order to clarify the recitation of the specific elements of the claims. In Claim 1, the recitation of the handle has been clarified with a rewording of lines [0006]-[0008].

The same objection has been made to Claim 11 line [0006]; however, the recitation in Claim 1 is clearer and the term "solid" has been deleted.

Claim 4 has been rejected and the amendment should overcome the rejection. The claim is dependent upon Claim 1 and the amended language makes the claim definite.

Claim 6 has been amended to provide a proper antecedent basis for the terms included in the claim.

Claim 8, although not elected, has been amended to recite "painting" instead of "paint". Claim 10 has been amended as well as Claim 11.


### SUMMARY

Applicant has amended the rejected Claims 1, 3-7 and 9-11 to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112. It is noted by the Examiner that independent Claims 3 and 11 define the allowable subject matter. Applicant has attempted to in this amendment to clearly define the invention and overcome this rejection. The other claims are dependant upon independent Claim

1 and should be patentable as well since suitable amendments were made where required.

Consequently, reconsideration and allowance of these claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

  
RICHARD A. JOEL  
496 Kinderkamack Road  
Oradell, NJ 07649  
(201) 599-0588  
Reg. No. 22212

Dated: August 17, 2005